

Bio-available amino acids extraction from soil by demineralized water and 0.5 M ammonium acetate

Short Communication

P. Formánek¹, B. Klejdus², and V. Vranová¹

¹ Department of Geology and Pedology, Mendel University of Agriculture and Forestry in Brno, Brno, Czech Republic

² Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Mendel University of Agriculture and Forestry in Brno, Brno, Czech Republic

Received November 30, 2004

Accepted February 18, 2005

Published online April 21, 2005; © Springer-Verlag 2005

Summary. The extraction and comparison of soil bio-available amino acids using either demineralised water (DEMI H₂O) or 0.5 M ammonium acetate (0.5 M AAc) solution is reported. Results show that the extraction by 0.5 M AAc is a better method to assess the concentration of bio-available amino acids in soil than DEMI H₂O due to higher extraction efficiency and better amino acid protection against microbial degradation during processing.

Keywords: Soil – Amino acids – Extraction

Introduction

It has long been known that soil amino acids in their “free state” can be uptaken either by mycorrhizal or nonmycorrhizal plant roots (Read, 1991; Chapin et al., 1993) and their role in nitrogen nutrition of plants is of intensive interest. Such bio-available amino acids are present either in solution as dissolved matter or as particulate matter loosely bound to organic or inorganic residues. However, they represent only very small fraction of the total soil amino acid pool (Kielland, 1994; Lipson et al., 2001). Thus, it is desirable to develop a robust extraction procedure applicable to the release of amino acids from soil exchangeable sites, especially in the case of positively charged, tightly bound amino acids which are poorly extracted by water (Schmidt et al., 1960; Paul and Schmidt, 1961) despite being readily uptaken by plants. In addition, any method developed must avoid or minimise protein hydrolysis which may give mis-leading results.

To date, demineralized water (DEMI H₂O) and 0.5 M ammonium acetate (0.5 M AAc) are considered to be the best extractants for this purpose. A water to soil ratio of at

least 3:1 (v/w) is used frequently (Kielland, 1995; Nordin et al., 2001; Lipson and Monson, 1998) because it mimicks water flow through soil pore spaces. However, conflicting results have been reported. For example, Abuarghub and Read (1988) report negligible protein hydrolysis using 0.5 M AAc to extract free amino acids from soil due to its neutral pH (6.8), whereas, Schmidt et al. (1960) report a weak hydrolytic effect of 0.5 M AAc whilst attempting to recover tryptophan from soil. Furthermore, Jones (1999) has reported that the half-life of water extracted amino acids is relatively short, even when maintained at 5°C, because water does not provide any protection of amino acids against microbial degradation, and the extraction procedure takes several hours. Thus, DEMI H₂O extracts give underestimated level of the actual bio-available amino acid present in soil.

To the best of our knowledge, there are no literature reports of a comparative study to assess extraction capability of DEMI H₂O *versus* 0.5 M AAc for naturally occurring bio-available amino acids from soil because the former is regarded as a weak amino acid extractant. To this effect, we report a series of experiments which compare soil amino acids extraction by DEMI H₂O and 0.5 M AAc, as both, amino acids concentrations and protein hydrolysis were taken into account.

Material and methods

Soil samples preparation

Ten random sub-samples were taken from Ah horizon of mountain meadow on March 13, 2004. Their physical and chemical properties are as

follows: clay 19.2, silt 26.6, sand 54.2 (%), pH (H₂O) 4.3; pH (10 mM CaCl₂) 3.8; C_{tot} 3.17%; N_{tot} 0.31%, NH₄⁺ 11.80 µg · g⁻¹ dry soil, NO₃⁻ 7.41 µg · g⁻¹ dry soil. Sub-samples were immediately transported to the laboratory, sieved through <5 mesh size and stored for 12 h at 4°C in plastic bags until extraction procedures were started. Every sub-sample was prepared separately. No mixing to form composite samples were used.

Soil extraction

The extraction of amino acids from soil depends on ratio between soil and extractant. Hence, in both cases, 50 g of individual soil sub-samples was extracted, in parallel, with either DEMI H₂O (200 ml) or 0.5 M AAC (200 ml) contained in 500 ml polyethylene bottles. After 1 h shaking, soil suspensions were filtered through paper filters and glass fiber GF 30 filters (55 mm, 1 µm, Schleicher & Schuell, Germany) at 6°C. Freezed extracts (18°C) were reduced to 20 times their original concentration by lyophilization. The lyophilized extracts were dissolved in 0.1 M HCl (500 µl) and filtered through a nylon membrane filter (13 mm, 0.45 µm, Chromatography Research Supplies, Adison USA).

Amino acids measurement by HPLC

The measurement of 17 amino acid concentrations were performed using an HP 1100 liquid chromatograph (Hewlett Packard, Wilmington, DE, USA) with fluorometric detector FLD HP 1100 operating at 450 nm (Ex = 340 nm). L-Cystine was taken into account due to its relatively high amount in bacterial spores, especially the coat layers. Separation was carried out with a Zorbax Eclipse AAA Rapid Resolution (4.6 × 150 mm, 3.5 µm particle sizes, Agilent Technologies, USA). A linear gradient profile of mobile phase, consisting of 40 mM Na₂HPO₄, pH 7.8 (solvent A) and ACN/MeOH/water 45:45:10 (v/v) (solvent B), 0% B (0–1.9 min), 0–57% (1.9–18.1 min), 57–100% (18.1–18.8 min), 100% (18.8–22.3 min), 100–0% (22.3–23.2 min) and 0% (23.2–26 min) was applied at a flow rate of 2.0 ml min⁻¹. The column was equilibrated for 5 min under initial conditions prior to injection of the next samples. The column temperature was 40°C. For determination of amino acids from soils extracts precolumn derivatization with *o*-phthalaldehyde (OPA) was used.

Measurement of proteolytic effect of 0.5 M AAC

The hydrolytic effect of 0.5 M AAC was verified on the basis of casein-hydrolysis measurement. Sodium caseinate was dissolved either in demineralized water or 0.5 M AAC to a final concentration of 1%. The resultant solutions were stored in plastic bottles (n = 3 for each of the extractants) for 7 days at 4°C. Thereafter, the modified procedure described in work of Nannipieri et al. (1979) for soil casein-protease activity measurement was used starting as follows: 2 ml of stored 1% casein solution were mixed with 2 ml 0.05 M tris-HCl bufer (pH 8.5) and 1 ml of 17.5% trichloroacetic acid, immediately filtered and 1 ml of filtrate mixed with 3.7% aqueous Na₂CO₃, and 1 ml 0.06% aqueous CuSO₄. After mixing and 30 min incubation at room temperature, 1 ml of Folin-Ciocalteu reagent (diluted 1:3 water) was applied and after 5 min incubation at 37°C and 20 min at room temperature, casein hydrolysis was determined at 578 nm. The calibration lines were prepared from stock solution of L-tyrosine in DEMI H₂O and 0.5 M AAC.

Statistical analysis

Statistical comparisons of both extraction procedures were performed by One-Way Anova (Statistica 6.0) for every amino acid separately (n = 10).

Results and discussion

The results of the experiment are summarized in Table 1. The concentration of individual amino acids in DEMI H₂O extracts relate quite well to monthly mean concentrations of amino acids in organic soil of tundra as reported by Kielland (1995) even though it is specific to soil and plant coverage. The total bio-available amino acids nitrogen amounted to 0.43 (DEMI H₂O) and 1.29 (0.5 M AAC) µg g⁻¹ in dry soil and both are similar to concentration ranges reported by other researchers (Lipson et al., 1999; Abuarghub and Read, 1988). From results obtained, it is evident that 0.5 M AAC

Table 1. Concentrations of individual amino acids in DEMI H₂O and 0.5 M AAC extracts (ng · g⁻¹ dry soil). Mean ± SD (n = 10)

L-Amino acid	0.5 M AAC extraction	Water extraction	W/AAC (%)
Asparate	775.58 ± 695.11	106.45 ± 203.12	13.74
Glutamic acid	1911.15 ± 1547.98	307.15 ± 632.09	16.07
Serine	269.85 ± 159.39	187.63 ± 94.55	69.53
Histidine	41.69 ± 64.67	30.51 ± 56.71	73.17
Glycine	1521.35 ± 799.00	397.43 ± 382.85	26.12
Threonine	282.44 ± 893.16	5.04 ± 10.58	1.78
Arginine	642.56 ± 656.25	172.10 ± 160.05	26.78
Alanine	525.28 ± 421.61	107.12 ± 188.04	20.39
Tyrosine	158.83 ± 135.97	132.65 ± 118.82	83.51
Cystine	775.38 ± 502.40	294.66 ± 257.98	30.79
Valine	193.88 ± 145.66	59.69 ± 96.79	30.79
Methionine	196.46 ± 428.48	20.66 ± 65.32	10.51
Phenylalanine	251.08 ± 168.92	284.11 ± 420.26	113.16
Isoleucine	117.61 ± 83.87	31.47 ± 69.74	26.75
Leucine	342.27 ± 216.77	166.14 ± 232.16	48.54
Lysine	225.70 ± 707.19	58.09 ± 178.80	25.73
Proline	906.68 ± 452.41	849.02 ± 188.82	90.33

Table 2. Statistically significant differences in extraction efficiencies for individual amino acids. Comparisons were performed by One-Way ANOVA (n = 10). *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01, ***P < 0.001

L-Amino acids	P-values
Asparagine	0.009**
Glutamic acid	0.0071**
Serine	0.178
Histidine	0.686
Glutamine	0.001***
Threonine	0.339
Arginine	0.041*
Alanine	0.01**
Tyrosine	0.652
Cysine	0.015*
Valine	0.026*
Methionine	0.216
Phenylalanine	0.820
Isoleucine	0.022*
Leucine	0.097
Lysine	0.477
Proline	0.579

gives much better extraction yield with highest relative differences in the cases of threonine, methionine and aspartate. From all of the 17 amino acids detected in our samples only phenylalanine was more efficiently extracted by DEMI H₂O. Statistically significant differences between both tested extractants are for individual amino acids given in Table 2.

Hydrolytic effect of 0.5 M AAc on sodium caseinate was not present, as no differences from dissolution of casein sodium in demineralized water were detected. In our opinion, the extraction by 0.5 M AAc is a better method to assess the concentration of bio-available amino acids in soil than DEMI H₂O due to higher extraction efficiency and better amino acid protection against microbial degradation during processing.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank to the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic for funding the study via postdoctoral project No. 526/03/D058.

References

- Abuarghub SM, Read DJ (1988) The biology of mycorrhiza in the *Ericaceae*. XI. The distribution of nitrogen in soil of a typical upland *Callunetum* with special reference to the "free" amino acids. *New Phytology* 108: 425–431
- Chapin FS, Moilanen L, Kielland K (1993) Preferential use of organic nitrogen for growth by a non-mycorrhizal arctic sedge. *Nature* 361: 150–152
- Jones DL (1999) Amino acid biodegradation and its potential effects on organic nitrogen capture by plants. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry* 31: 613–622
- Kielland K (1994) Amino acid absorption by arctic plants: implications for plant nutrition and nitrogen cycling. *Ecology* 75: 2373–2383
- Kielland K (1995) Landscape pattern of free amino acids in arctic tundra soils. *Biogeochemistry* 31: 85–98
- Lipson DA, Monson RK (1998) Plant-microbe competition for soil amino acids in the alpine tundra: effects of freeze-thaw and dry-rwet events. *Oecologia* 113: 406–414
- Lipson DA, Näsholm T (2001) The unexpected versatility of plants: organic nitrogen use and availability in terrestrial ecosystems. *Oecologia* 128: 305–316
- Nannipieri P, Pedrazzini F, Arcara PG, Piovaneli C (1979) Changes in amino acids, enzyme activities, and biomasses during soil microbial growth. *Soil Science* 127: 26–34
- Nordin A, Högborg P, Näsholm T (2001) Soil nitrogen form and plant nitrogen uptake along a boreal forest productivity gradient. *Oecologia* 129: 125–132
- Paul EA, Schmidt EL (1961) Extraction of free amino acids from soil. *Soil. Proceedings of Soil Science Society of America* 24: 195–198
- Read DJ (1991) Mycorrhizae in ecosystems. *Experientia* 47: 376–391
- Schmidt EI, Putnam HD, Paul EA (1960) Behaviour of free amino acids in soil. Division III – Soil microbiology. *Proceedings of Soil Science Society of America* 24: 107–109

Authors' address: Dr. Pavel Formánek, Department of Geology and Pedology, Mendel University of Agriculture and Forestry in Brno, Zemedelska 3, 613 00 Brno, Czech Republic,
Fax: + 420 5 45 21 14 22, E-mail: formanek@mendelu.cz